

## International Intellectual Property

Eric E. Johnson ericejohnson.com



## **General Principles**

- "territoriality"
- dependence, independence, central attack
- procedural harmonization
- substantive agreements
  - national treatment
  - minima

## **WIPO**







WIPO Building, Geneva © WIPO

**PATENTS** 

# International Aspects of Patents

- "territoriality"
- Paris Convention
  - national treatment
- Patent Cooperation Treaty
  - procedural harmonization
- TRIPS
  - substantive minima

**Paris Convention** 

**PATENTS** 

#### **Paris Convention**

- Convention of Paris for the Protection of Industrial Property of 1884
- 177 signatories today
  - Cf. 195 internationally recognized sovereign countries
- Requirements:
  - national treatment, independence of patents, international priority

Paris Convention

**PATENTS** 

#### **National Treatment**

- Must treat foreign inventors who are nationals of a signatory no worse than domestic inventors
- E.g.:
  - No higher fees
  - No shorter durations

**Paris Convention** 

**PATENTS** 

## Independence of patents

- Before the Paris Convention, some countries applied foreign dependence against foreign inventors.
- Foreign dependence: If any foreign patent expired or was invalidated, the domestic patent was invalidated as well.
- Effect of independence: Victories and defeats in the courts of one country have no effect on validity in other countries

Paris Convention

**PATENTS** 

## **International Priority**

 If a patent application is filed in any signatory, all subsequent applications in other signatories within one year are given priority back to the first-country filing date. PCT PATENTS

## **Patent Cooperation Treaty**

- "PCT"
- 152 signatories today
- Procedural
- Provides a partially internationalized process
- One international application begins prosecution in all signatories.

TRIPS PATENTS

#### **TRIPS**

- "Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights"
- Negotiated during the the Uruguay Round of General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) in 1994
- Covers other IP forms, as well as patent
- Agreement to TRIPS is a requirement of WTO membership
- 164 WTO members today
- Creates substantive "minimum standards" obligations for national patent laws

TRIPS PATENTS

#### Minimum Standards of TRIPS

- 20 years from filing date is the minimum term
- · Applicants must provide an enabling disclosure
- Protectible subject matter: inventions in "all fields of technology, provided that they are new, involve an inventive step and are capable of industrial application"
  - Exceptions: certain methods of medical treatment, macroorganisms, immoral inventions
  - Pharmaceuticals, not patentable in many countries prior to TRIPS, <u>are not an exception</u>.
- Judicial review

TRIPS PATENTS

### **Compulsory Licenses**

- Compulsory licenses are essentially not used in the U.S. for inventions.
- Historically important in other countries, including for pharmaceuticals
- TRIPS has substantive limits on countries' powers to grant compulsory licenses:
  - Must have made unsuccessful efforts to license
  - Compulsory license must be revocable
  - Remuneration must be adequate
  - Must be predominantly for the domestic market

TRIPS PATENTS

#### TRIPS and Drugs in Developing Countries

- TRIPS effectively denies new drugs to poor populations and countries to some extent, including those for large-scale public-health problems such as AIDS, malaria, and other diseases.
- Despite the downside of TRIPS patent rules, most countries sign TRIPS, as it is required for WTO membership and thus needed for exports.
- Many smaller, lesser developed countries cannot manufacture pharmaceuticals on their own, so the domestic-market limitation effectively eviscerates the compulsory licensing scheme for them.
- Many very poor countries have already acceded.
- While WIPO and developed-countries pressure less-developed countries to provide monopoly privileges on pharmaceuticals, the WHO has helped less-developed countries to navigate the red tape to provide cheap generics.

**COPYRIGHT** 

#### International Aspects of Copyright

- There is no "international copyright."
- The major treaty is the Berne Convention.
- The Universal Copyright Convention was begun by the U.S. as an alternative to Berne. It has less stringent provisions. But the U.S. acceded to Berne in 1988, effective March 1, 1989.
- TRIPS, NAFTA, and other agreements also concern copyright to some degree.

Berne COPYRIGHT

#### The Berne Convention

- Established 1886
- Revised six times, most recently the Paris Revision in 1971
- U.S. did not join until March 1, 1989
- Requirements:
  - National treatment
  - Certain minima of protection
- Euro-centric
- Also called the "Berne Union"
- 177 contracting parties

Berne COPYRIGHT

#### **National Treatment**

- Must treat foreign authors no worse than domestic authors
- But can treat domestic authors at a disadvantage

Berne COPYRIGHT

#### Minima Under Berne

- Subject-matter:
  - "every production in the literary and artistic domain whatever may be the mode or form of its expression"
  - Includes architecture
  - Includes compilations and derivatives
  - Does not include "news of the day" or facts
- · Moral rights
- Preclusion of formalities
- Minimum term of life + 50; 50 for anonymous
- Allows fair use and cover-version compulsory license limitations

Berne COPYRIGHT

#### Delayed U.S. Acceptance of Berne

- "Back-door to Berne" for U.S. authors
  - Simultaneous publication in the U.S. and Canada
  - Many old books say they were published simultaneously in the U.S. and Canada the back-door is why.
- Changes to U.S. copyright law for joining:
  - Deletion of notice requirement
  - Deletion of registration requirement
- Not changed in 1989:
  - Moral rights (deemed covered by unfair competition law)
  - Architectural works
- Later changes in Berne's spirit
  - Coverage of architectural works
  - Visual Artists Rights Act of 1990
  - Retroactive protection for foreign works then in the public domain in the U.S. (NAFTA, TRIPS)

**TRADEMARK** 

#### **International Aspects of Trademark**

- Paris Convention
- Trademark Law Treaty
- Madrid Agreement and Madrid Protocol

#### **Paris Convention**

**TRADEMARK** 

#### **Paris Convention**

- Procedural
- Works for trademark registration like it does for patents
- Priority period is six months instead of one year
- U.S. is a signatory

TLT TRADEMARK

## Trademark Law Treaty

- Harmonizes and simplifies application requirements, such as filing requirements, recordation of assignments, physical dimensions of paperwork, etc.
- U.S. is a signatory

Madrid TRADEMARK

#### **Madrid Agreement**

- Only 55 signatories (incl. China, France, Germany, and much of Europe)
- The U.S. is <u>not</u> a signatory (nor is UK or Japan)
- · Effectively an "international trademark"
- Registration provides automatic protection in all signatories
- Use is not required
- Allows "central attack"
- The U.S. objects to because of central attack availability and because American use requirement puts domestic firms at a disadvantage

Madrid TRADEMARK

#### **Madrid Protocol**

- Eliminates central-attack and userequirement problems to ease U.S. entry into Madrid framework.
- 104 signatories (incl. USA, UK, Japan)
- Effective in the U.S. in November 2003.

Non U.S. forms

#### Forms of IP Not Recognized in the U.S.

- Database protection
- Geographical indications

Non U.S. forms

## **Geographical Indications**

- Not recognized in the U.S.
- Well-used in Europe
- Allows a monopoly for appellations of geographic origin
- Monopoly privileges inure to a place (not a firm, as with TM)
- Examples:
  - Champagne (a.k.a. "sparkling wine")
  - Parmesan cheese
- Might be protected in the U.S.:
  - through specific regulation (e.g., alcohol regulation)
  - as collective marks or certification marks, if not currently generic



