



Industry & Invention
Patent

Design Patents

Eric E. Johnson

ericejohnson.com



Konomark
Most rights sharable

Assuming other requirements are met,
copyright could mostly clearly be claimed
over which of the following?

- (A) a novel computer program
- (B) a two-word slogan for a chain of fitness centers
- (C) the shape of a doorknob
- (D) an improvement on the design of a chair
- (E) an idea for how to better defuse conflict in pre-school daycare

Assuming other requirements are met, copyright could mostly clearly be claimed over which of the following?

- ➡ (A) a novel computer program
- (B) a two-word slogan for a chain of fitness centers
- (C) the shape of a doorknob
- (D) an improvement on the design of a chair
- (E) an idea for how to better defuse conflict in pre-school daycare

Assuming other requirements are met, copyright could mostly clearly be claimed over which of the following?

- ➡ (A) a novel computer program
- (B) a two-word slogan for a chain of fitness centers
- (C) the shape of a doorknob
- (D) an improvement on the design of a chair
- (E) an idea for how to better defuse conflict in pre-school daycare


Note: I've leaned very heavily on Prof. Sarah Burstein's work in putting together the examples and analysis in this slide deck.

Design patent basics

- Term:
 - 14 years from issuance (pre May 13, 2015 applications)
 - 15 years from issuance (post May 12, 2015 applications)
- Design can include configuration/shape of article, surface ornamentation, or a combination of shape and surface ornamentation.


Design patent basics

- Claimed with a single claim that references the drawings, e.g.: “The ornamental design for [the article] as shown and described.”
- Design patent numbers have a “D” prefix.



 US656295S

<p>(12) United States Design Patent Tokarz</p> <p>(54) HEADSTONE ENHANCEMENT</p> <p>(76) Inventor: Bernard Tokarz, Bloomsburg, PA (US)</p> <p>(**) Term: 14 Years</p> <p>(21) Appl. No.: 297368,774</p> <p>(22) Filed: Apr. 30, 2010</p> <p>Related U.S. Application Data</p> <p>(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 297323,827, filed on Sep. 2, 2008, now abandoned.</p> <p>(51) LOC (9) CL D9918</p> <p>(52) U.S. CL D9918</p> <p>(56) Field of Classification Search D9918, 24; 271-8, 14, 27, 30, 52/103, 40/124-5, D11/45-163, D25/38, 111 See application file for complete search history.</p> <p>(56) References Cited</p> <p>U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>5,701,714 A *</td> <td>12/1997</td> <td>Dezinski</td> <td>52/065</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D107,808 S *</td> <td>8/1998</td> <td>Long</td> <td>16/464</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D14,454 S *</td> <td>6/2007</td> <td>McCarthy</td> <td>103/13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2001/013420 A1 *</td> <td>7/2001</td> <td>Kang</td> <td>256/22</td> </tr> </table> <p>FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>JP</td> <td>857850 A2 *</td> <td>8/1998</td> </tr> </table> <p>* cited by examiner</p>	5,701,714 A *	12/1997	Dezinski	52/065	D107,808 S *	8/1998	Long	16/464	D14,454 S *	6/2007	McCarthy	103/13	2001/013420 A1 *	7/2001	Kang	256/22	JP	857850 A2 *	8/1998	<p>(11) Patent No.: US D656,295 S</p> <p>(45) Date of Patent: Mar. 20, 2012</p> <p>Primary Examiner—Michael Pratt (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Blyskal, Shideler, Kriszane Shideler, PLLC Law Group</p> <p>(57) CLAIM The ornamental design for a headstone enhancement, as shown and described.</p> <p>DESCRIPTION</p> <p>FIG. 1 is a front view of an ornamental headstone enhancement according to a first embodiment of the present invention; FIG. 2 is a back view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1; FIG. 3 is a top plan view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1; FIG. 4 is a bottom plan view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1; FIG. 5 is a right side view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1; FIG. 6 is a left side view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1; FIG. 7 is a front view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1 positioned in the ground with a headstone illustrated in phantom; and, FIG. 8 is a perspective view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1. The phantom lines are for purposes of illustrating environmental structure that forms no part of the claimed design.</p> <p>1 Claim, 3 Drawing Sheets</p>
5,701,714 A *	12/1997	Dezinski	52/065																	
D107,808 S *	8/1998	Long	16/464																	
D14,454 S *	6/2007	McCarthy	103/13																	
2001/013420 A1 *	7/2001	Kang	256/22																	
JP	857850 A2 *	8/1998																		





US00D656295S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D656,295 S**
Tokarz (45) **Date of Patent:** **** Mar. 20, 2012**

(54) **HEADSTONE ENHANCEMENT**

(76) Inventor: **Bernard Tokarz**, Blossburg, PA (US)

(**) Term: **14 Years**

(21) Appl. No.: **29/360,774**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 30, 2010**

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 29/323,827, filed on Sep. 2, 2008, now abandoned.

(51) **LOC (9) CL** **25-03**

(52) **U.S. CL** **D99/18**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** D99/1-24;
27/1-8, 14, 27, 30; 52/103; 40/124.5;
D11/143-163; D25/38, 111

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,701,714 A * 12/1997 Dietrichs 52/665
D397,888 S * 9/1998 Teng D6/464
D545,454 S * 6/2007 McCarthy D25/53
2003/0132426 A1 * 7/2003 Kang 256/22

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 857850 A2 * 8/1998

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — Michael Pratt

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Blynn L. Shideler; Krisanne Shideler; BLK Law Group

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a headstone enhancement, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front view of an ornamental headstone enhancement according to a first embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a back view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a top plan view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 4 is a bottom plan view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5 is a right side view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 6 is a left side view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 7 is a front view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1 positioned in the ground with a headstone illustrated in phantom; and,

FIG. 8 is a perspective view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1.

The phantom lines are for purposes of illustrating environmental structure that forms no part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 3 Drawing Sheets

(45) **Date of Patent:** **** Mar. 20, 2012**

Primary Examiner — Michael Pratt

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Blynn L. Shideler; Krisanne Shideler; BLK Law Group

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a headstone enhancement, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front view of an ornamental headstone enhancement according to a first embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a back view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a top plan view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 4 is a bottom plan view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5 is a right side view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

(45) **Date of Patent:** ** **Mar. 20, 2012**

Primary Examiner — Michael Pratt

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Blynn L. Shideler; Krisanne Shideler; BLK Law Group

(57) **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a headstone enhancement, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front view of an ornamental headstone enhancement according to a first embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a back view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a top plan view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 4 is a bottom plan view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5 is a right side view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1;

FIG. 1;

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,701,714 A * 12/1997 Dietrichs 52/665
D397,888 S * 9/1998 Teng D6/464
D545,454 S * 6/2007 McCarthy D25/53
2003/0132426 A1 * 7/2003 Kang 256/22

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS


EP 857850 A2 * 8/1998

* cited by examiner

FIG. 7 is a front view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1 positioned in the ground with a headstone illustrated in phantom; and,
FIG. 8 is a perspective view of the headstone enhancement of FIG. 1.

The phantom lines are for purposes of illustrating environmental structure that forms no part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 3 Drawing Sheets











FIG. 6




FIG. 8



(12) **United States Design Patent**
Kovich et al.

(10) Patent No.: **US D655,886 S**
(45) Date of Patent: **Mar. 20, 2012**

- (54) **SQUARE BOTTOM TACO SHELL**
- (75) Inventors: **Nicholas D. Kovich**, Minneapolis, MN
(US); **Anthony W. Richards**, Poplar, WI
(US)
- (73) Assignee: **General Mills Conagra, Inc.**,
Minneapolis, MN (US)
- (*) Term: **14 Years**
- (21) Appl. No.: **29333,002**
- (22) Filed: **Mar. 3, 2009**

- Related U.S. Application Data**
- (60) Division of application No. 29258,695, filed on Oct. 30, 2006, now Pat. No. Des. 569,126, which is a continuation of application No. 10/501,298, filed as application No. PCT/US02/06474 on Nov. 14, 2002, now abandoned.
- (51) **LOC. 19 CL.** **01-01**
- (52) **U.S. CL.** **D1/122**
- (58) **Field of Classification Search** **D1/100-102**,
D1/105-116, 116-118, 120-130, 196, 197-204;
D2/119, 121; D1/223, 162; D8/346; D10/91;
D2/267, 426/138, 143, 279, 282, 283, 301;
426/514, 211/180
- See application file for complete search history.

- (56) **References Cited**
- U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**
- D11,606 S * 10/189 Terry D25/121
1,529,673 A 9/102 Prinschall et al.
1,552,671 A 9/102 Ballochio
1,561,263 A 7/101 Ballough
1,642,479 A 1/101 Brown 72/166
1,699,930 A * 5/103 De Ridder
2,126,710 A 6/199 Stading

- 2,374,344 A * 2/1042 Palmer 229/87,06
2,590,937 A * 6/1052 May 433-47
2,664,812 A 1/1054 Gilbello
2,922,716 A 6/1059 Lynn
3,390,154 A 12/1066 Turner
3,441,167 A 9/1067 Weiss
3,541,587 A 11/1079 Washburn
3,653,137 A 4/1072 Hansen
3,908,037 A 9/1075 Seiback
4,010,003 A 4/1077 Achenk et al.
4,055,670 A 10/1077 Belmont
4,060,062 A * 12/1077 Spink et al. 249,503
D751,396 S 3/1079 Blackman
D751,416 S 5/1079 Mosacki
4,214,517 A 7/1080 Caldwell
4,286,091 A 2/1081 Papp et al.
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Beckheim Steel Catalog, Copyright 1931, p. 9, extrusion, bottom row.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Barbara Fox
(74) **Attorney, Agent, or Firm**—John A. O'Toole; Dale A. Bjorkman; Kevin J. Hubbard


CLAIM

The ornamental design for a square bottom taco shell, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a square bottom taco shell of the present design;
FIG. 2 is a left side view of the square bottom taco shell of FIG. 1, the right side view being identical thereto; and
FIG. 3 is a front view of the square bottom taco shell of FIG. 1, the rear view being identical thereto.

1 Claim, 1 Drawing Sheet





US00D655886S

(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D655,886 S**
Kovich et al. (45) **Date of Patent:** **** Mar. 20, 2012**

(54) **SQUARE BOTTOM TACO SHELL** 2,274,344 A * 2/1942 Palmer 229/87.06
2,598,927 A * 6/1952 May 433/47
(75) Inventors: **Nicholas D. Kovich**, Minneapolis, MN 2,664,812 A 1/1954 Gilberto
(US); **Anthony W. Richards**, Poplar, WI 2,892,719 A 6/1959 Lynn
(US) 3,290,154 A 12/1966 Turner
3,341,167 A 9/1967 Weiss
3,541,587 A 11/1970 Washburn
(73) Assignee: **General Mills Cereals, Inc.**, 3,653,337 A 4/1972 Hanson
Minneapolis, MN (US) 3,908,022 A 9/1975 Selleck
4,018,905 A 4/1977 Adamek et al.
(**) Term: **14 Years** 4,055,670 A 10/1977 Belmont
4,065,092 A * 12/1977 Spinks et al. 248/503
(21) Appl. No.: **29/333,082** D251,396 S 3/1979 Hutchinson
D251,816 S 5/1979 Majeski
(22) Filed: **Mar. 3, 2009** 4,214,517 A 7/1980 Caldwell
4,250,091 A 2/1981 Papa et al.
(Continued)

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Division of application No. 29/268,095, filed on Oct. 30, 2006, now Pat. No. Des. 590,126, which is a continuation of application No. 10/501,298, filed as application No. PCT/US02/036474 on Nov. 14, 2002, now abandoned.

(51) **LOC (9) CL.** **01-01**
(52) **U.S. CL.** **D1/122**
(58) **Field of Classification Search** D1/100-102,
D1/105-16, 116-118, 120-130, 199; D7/504;
D25/119, 121; D12/223, 162; D8/356; D19/91;
D23/267; 426/138, 143, 279, 282-283, 391,
426/514; 211/189
See application file for complete search history.

References Cited

(56) U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Bethlehem Steel Catalog, Copyright 1931; p. 9, extrusion, bottom row.*

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Barbara Fox
(74) **Attorney, Agent, or Firm** — John A. O'Toole; Dale A. Bjorkman; Kevin J. Hubbard

(57) **CLAIM**
The ornamental design for a square bottom taco shell, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a square bottom taco shell of the present design;

(Continued)

..... **01-01** **Primary Examiner** — Barbara Fox
.... **D1/122** (74) **Attorney, Agent, or Firm** — John A. O'Toole; Dale A.
1/100-102, Bjorkman; Kevin J. Hubbard
9; D7/504

6; D19/91
2-283, 391
4; 211/189
story.

(57) **CLAIM**
The ornamental design for a square bottom taco shell, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a square bottom taco shell of the present design;
.... D25/121 FIG. 2 is a left side view of the square bottom taco shell of FIG. 1, the right side view being identical thereto; and,
FIG. 3 is a front view of the square bottom taco shell of FIG. 1, the rear view being identical thereto.

..... 72/166

1 Claim, 1 Drawing Sheet



1/100-102,
9; D7/504;
6; D19/91;
2-283, 391,
4; 211/189
story.


Bjorkman; Kevin J. Hubbard

(57) **CLAIM**
The ornamental design for a square bottom taco shell, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a square bottom taco shell of the present design;
FIG. 2 is a left side view of the square bottom taco shell of FIG. 1, the right side view being identical thereto; and,
FIG. 3 is a front view of the square bottom taco shell of FIG. 1, the rear view being identical thereto.

1 Claim, 1 Drawing Sheet



(52) U.S. Cl. D1/122
(58) **Field of Classification Search** D1/100-102,
D1/105-116, 116-118, 120-130, 199; D7/504;
D25/119, 121; D12/223, 162; D8/356; D19/91;
D23/267; 426/138, 143, 279, 282-283, 391,
426/514; 211/189
See application file for complete search history.

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — John A. O'Toole; Dale A. Bjorkman; Kevin J. Hubbard

(57) **CLAIM**
The ornamental design for a square bottom taco shell, as shown and described.


DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a square bottom taco shell of the present design;
FIG. 2 is a left side view of the square bottom taco shell of FIG. 1, the right side view being identical thereto; and,
FIG. 3 is a front view of the square bottom taco shell of FIG. 1, the rear view being identical thereto.

1 Claim, 1 Drawing Sheet

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS
D31,690 S * 10/1899 Terry D25/121
1,529,670 A 3/1925 Pritchard et al.
1,552,671 A 9/1925 Bellocchio
1,816,283 A 7/1931 Holibaugh
1,842,576 A 1/1932 Bemis
1,909,930 A * 5/1933 De Ridder 72/166
2,129,710 A 6/1938 Nieding



US D655,886 S

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		
4,251,554 A	2/1981	Burkholder
4,270,680 A *	6/1981	Pat
D501,786 S	4/1982	Kahan
D277,234 S	1/1983	Bark
D278,199 S	4/1983	Richards
D575,160 S	6/1983	Tham
4,539,275 A	7/1983	Shackel et al.
D582,138 S	1/1986	Pat
4,571,570 A	3/1986	Shackel et al.
4,740,010 A	5/1986	Toussier
D596,284 S *	6/1988	Cobby
D599,707 S	4/1989	Mattewsky
4,806,620 A	1/1990	Harrington
4,919,046 A	4/1990	Pak et al.
D514,887 S	2/1991	Tominson
5,002,783 A	3/1991	Ratz
5,029,002 A	4/1991	Mascani
D516,622 S	5/1991	Papad
D518,984 S	8/1991	Wickham et al.
D516,603 S	3/1992	Cape et al.
D534,111 S	3/1993	Yoshida et al.
D535,750 S	5/1993	Stickle
5,223,206 A	6/1993	Stahel
5,236,727 A	8/1993	Hutton
D539,899 S	10/1993	Kittleson
5,298,273 A	3/1994	By
D539,673 S *	9/1994	Auner
5,364,016 A *	11/1994	Capp et al.
D544,159 S	1/1995	Moran
D569,454 S	5/1996	Gomez
5,567,455 A	10/1996	Alshousek, Sr.
D576,803 S	12/1996	Gomez
D577,257 S	1/1997	Cabon
D581,589 S	4/1997	Munier
D587,189 S *	12/1997	Waters
5,709,956 A	1/1998	Duggs et al.
D603,136 S	4/1998	Connet
5,897,960 A	4/1999	Grasby et al.
D612,770 S	8/1999	Martinez et al.
5,984,697 A *	11/1999	Moray et al.
5,991,871 A	11/1999	Baehler
5,997,921 A	12/1999	Tanaka
D621,272 S	2/2000	Patel
6,136,377 A	12/2000	Franklin
D667,121 S *	12/2002	Zare et al.
D667,666 S *	12/2002	Givens et al.
6,667,169 B1 *	8/2003	Goodfield
6,615,461 B1 *	6/2003	Evans et al.
7,008,655 B1	3/2006	Adams
D525,814 S	5/2006	Wegmann
D521,289 S *	6/2006	Dawal
D521,698 S *	6/2006	Dawal
D521,791 S *	6/2006	Dodge et al.
D524,112 S	7/2006	Cragley
D524,118 S *	7/2006	Dodge et al.
D544,466 S *	6/2007	Wickham
D565,126 S *	4/2009	Kowich et al.
2001/0049773 A1	11/2001	Kock et al.
2008/0147587 A1 *	7/2008	Kowich et al.
2008/0210144 A1 *	9/2008	Richards

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

2002, *Old 13 Pace Dinner Kit*
 Jul. 2007, <http://www.standford.com/ingraves/>, p. 3, 28.
 * cited by examiner

U.S. Patent

Mar. 20, 2012

US D655,886 S




Fig. 1



Fig. 2




Fig. 3

Design patents compared to utility patents

- Design patent is for an “ornamental design”
- Utility patent is for a “useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof”
- Design patents are much, much easier to get (~90% allowance rate).
- No maintenance fees are required.

Design patents: Source of law

- Like utility patents, design patent are exclusively a creature of federal law.
- 35 U.S.C. § § 171-173, with § § 102, 103, 112, and other sections being applicable as well

Design patents enabling provision at § 171

- “Whoever invents any new, original, and ornamental design for an article of manufacture may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title. The provisions of this title relating to patents for inventions shall apply to patents for designs, except as otherwise provided.”

Design patents requirements

- novelty
- originality
- nonobviousness
- ornamental (not dictated by function)
- on a functional article
- enabling disclosure

Design patents requirements

- novelty ← same as for utility patents
- originality
- nonobviousness ← not much of a limit
- ornamental (not dictated by function)
- on a functional article
- enabling disclosure

for a “plush toy”




FIG. 1

D724,677

for a "vacuum sweeper handle"



D721,461

for a "bath tub"




Fig. 2

D724,706

for a “bath tub”




Fig. 6

D724,706

Nonobviousness in design patents

“[T]he ultimate inquiry under section 103 is whether the claimed design would have been obvious to a designer of ordinary skill who designs articles of the type involved.”

– Titan Tire v. Case New Holland, 566 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2009)

“To answer this question, a court must first determine whether one of ordinary skill would have combined teachings of the prior art to create the same overall visual appearance as the claimed design. That inquiry involves a two-step process.”

– MRC Innovations v. Hunter Mfg., 747 F.3d 1326 (Fed Cir. 2014)

(internal quote and cites omitted)

Nonobviousness in design patents

STEP ONE:

“First, the court must identify a single reference, a something in existence, the design characteristics of which are basically the same as the claimed design. The 'basically the same' test requires consideration of the visual impression created by the patented design as a whole. ... [T]he trial court judge may determine almost instinctively whether the two designs create basically the same visual impression, but must communicate the reasoning behind that decision.”

– MRC Innovations v. Hunter Mfg., 747 F.3d 1326 (Fed Cir. 2014)

(internal quote and cites omitted)

Nonobviousness in design patents

STEP TWO:

“[O]ther secondary references may be used to modify it to create a design that has the same overall visual appearance as the claimed design. These secondary references must be so related to the primary reference that the appearance of certain ornamental features in one would suggest the application of those features to the other.”

– MRC Innovations v. Hunter Mfg., 747 F.3d 1326 (Fed Cir. 2014)

(internal quote, brackets and cites omitted)

Nonobviousness in design patents

STEP TWO:


"[O]ther secondary references may be used to modify it to create a design that has the same overall visual appearance as the primary reference."

references may be used to modify it to create a design that has the same overall visual appearance as the primary reference. For a while there, it looked like it was becoming practically impossible to invalidate any design patents under § 103. Now we at least know that it's still possible. But we don't have much guidance as to when it's possible." Sarah Burstein, 2014

– MRC Int'l
(2014)

"The Federal Circuit hasn't actually reached the second step of this test in a while. That's because it has been requiring a very high degree of similarity for primary references ... For a while there, it looked like it was becoming practically impossible to invalidate any design patents under § 103. Now we at least know that it's still possible. But we don't have much guidance as to when it's possible." Sarah Burstein, 2014

(internal quote, brackets and cites omitted)



(12) **United States Design Patent** (10) **Patent No.:** **US D634,488 S**
Cohen (45) **Date of Patent:** **Mar. 15, 2011**

(54) **FOOTBALL JERSEY FOR A DOG**
(75) Inventor: **Mark Cohen**, Mason, OH (US)
(73) Assignee: **MRC Innovations**, Mason, OH (US)
(**) Term: **14 Years**
(21) Appl. No.: **29/369,427**
(22) Filed: **Sep. 8, 2010**
(51) **LOC (9) CL** **30-01**
(52) **U.S. CL** **D30/145**
(58) **Field of Classification Search** D30/145,
D30/144, 151-154; 119/678, 850, 673, 702,
119/712, 792-798, 758, 760, 769, 770, 784,
119/815, 818, 856, 863, 864, 905, 907, 802,
119/857, 865, 725, 771; 52/3; 54/79.4, 79.1,
54/80.1, 79.2; D3/271.2, 217, 327; 150/154;
36/111; 604/293; 602/79, 61
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS
1,437,255 A * 11/1922 Mallinson 54/79.2
1,595,834 A * 8/1926 Griffiths 54/79.1
2,103,109 A * 12/1937 De Mar 54/79.1
2,273,706 A * 2/1942 Hafner 54/79.1
2,400,781 A * 5/1946 Priour 54/79.1
2,417,803 A * 3/1947 De Mar 54/79.1
3,141,443 A * 7/1964 Huey 119/854
D228,926 S * 10/1973 Bennett D30/145
3,792,687 A * 2/1974 Ehrman 119/868
4,095,562 A * 6/1978 Graham 119/868
4,290,386 A * 9/1981 Eiriksson 119/868

5,226,386 A * 7/1993 Thoma 119/869
5,234,421 A * 8/1993 Lowman 604/385.09
5,359,963 A * 11/1994 Jesse et al. 119/850
D363,572 S * 10/1995 Obenchain D30/145
D368,338 S * 3/1996 Levengood D30/144
5,537,954 A * 7/1996 Beeghly et al. 119/850
5,555,847 A * 9/1996 Kelly 119/850
5,632,235 A * 5/1997 Larsen et al. 119/856
5,662,640 A * 9/1997 Daniels 604/392
D406,410 S * 3/1999 Pasqua D30/144
5,887,772 A * 3/1999 Dooley 224/191
5,941,199 A * 8/1999 Tamura 119/850
5,954,015 A * 9/1999 Ohta 119/850
6,024,055 A * 2/2000 Jesse et al. 119/850
D427,734 S * 7/2000 Balzarini D30/145
D429,390 S * 8/2000 Grady et al. D30/152
D429,391 S * 8/2000 Grady et al. D30/152


(Continued)



Primary Examiner—Susan Moon Lee
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Rankin Hill & Clark LLP

(57) **CLAIM**
I claim the ornamental design for a football jersey for a dog,
as shown and described.


DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a side view of a football jersey for a dog shown in
use;
FIG. 2 is a front elevational view of the football jersey shown
in FIG. 1;
FIG. 3 is a right side elevational view of the football jersey
shown in FIG. 1, the left side of the football jersey being a
mirror image thereof; and,
FIG. 4 is a top plan view of the football jersey shown in FIG.
1.










Design patents – claims and infringement

- Design patent claims are essentially the drawings.
- Infringement involves comparing the accused article to the drawings using the standard of an “ordinary observer” who has access to the prior art.
- “[I]f, in the eye of an ordinary observer, giving such attention as a purchaser usually gives, two designs are substantially the same, if the resemblance is such as to deceive such an observer, inducing him to purchase one supposing it to be the other, the first one patented is infringed by the other.”
 - *Gorham Mfg. Co. v. White*, 81 U.S. 511 (1871); see also *Advantek Mktg. v. Shanghai Walk-Long Tools*, 898 F.3d 1210 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (quoting)

Design patents – claims and infringement


- Design patent [claims are essentially the drawings](#).
- Infringement involves comparing the accused article to the drawings using the standard of an “ordinary observer” who has access to the prior art.
- “[I]f, in the eye of an [ordinary observer](#), giving [such attention as a purchaser usually gives](#), two designs are [substantially the same, if the resemblance is such as to deceive such an observer, inducing him to purchase one supposing it to be the other](#), the first one patented is infringed by the other.”
 - Gorham Mfg. Co. v. White, 81 U.S. 511 (1871); see also Advantek Mktg. v. Shanghai Walk-Long Tools, 898 F.3d 1210 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (quoting)





Before 2012, many
considered design patents
“worthless.”

Then ...



Related U.S. Application Data

- (60) Division of application No. 29/282,834, filed on Jul. 30, 2007, now Pat. No. Des. 581,922, which is a continuation of application No. 29/270,888, filed on Jan. 5, 2007, now Pat. No. Des. 558,758.
- (51) **LOC (9) CL.** **14-02**
- (52) **U.S. CL.** **D14/341; D14/248; D14/203.7**
- (58) **Field of Classification Search** **D14/341, D14/342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 420, 426, D14/427, 432, 439, 440, 441, 448, 496, 125, D14/137, 129, 130, 138, 250, 389, 147, 218, D14/247, 248, 156; D10/65, 104; D13/168; D18/6, 7; D21/329, 686; 455/90.3, 556.1, 455/556.2, 575.1, 575.3, 575.4; 379/433.01, 379/433.04, 433.06, 433.07; 361/814; 341/22; 345/169, 173; D6/596, 601, 605**
 See application file for complete search history.

FIG. 3 is a front view thereof;

FIG. 4 is a rear view thereof;

FIG. 5 is a top view thereof;

FIG. 6 is bottom view thereof;


FIG. 7 is a left side view thereof; and,

FIG. 8 is a right side view thereof.

The claimed surface of the electronic device is illustrated with the color designation for the color black.

The electronic device is not limited to the scale shown herein. As indicated in the title, the article of manufacture to which the ornamental design has been applied is an electronic device, media player (e.g., music, video and/or game player), media storage device, a personal digital assistant, a communication device (e.g., cellular phone), a novelty item or toy.

1 Claim, 2 Drawing Sheets



U.S. Patent

Jun. 29, 2010

Sheet 1 of 2

US D618,677 S




FIG. 1





FIG. 2






some practice ...

Oren, an industrial designer, has created a new desk lamp. It does not work differently than other desk lamps, but it's very exotic and cool looking. What can Oren likely obtain?

- (A) a utility patent, but not a design patent
- (B) a design patent, but not a utility patent
- (C) both a design patent and a utility patent
- (D) neither a design patent nor a utility patent
- (E) a mask work registration, but not a patent

some practice ...

Oren, an industrial designer, has created a new desk lamp. It does not work differently than other desk lamps, but it's very exotic and cool looking. What can Oren likely obtain?

- (A) a utility patent, but not a design patent
-  (B) a design patent, but not a utility patent
- (C) both a design patent and a utility patent
- (D) neither a design patent nor a utility patent
- (E) a mask work registration, but not a patent