



Imagine this conversation at the indoor rock-climbing place ...

"Why do I have to sign this?" "Because if you hurt yourself, we don't want to get sued." "Oh, okay."

Imagine this conversation at the indoor rock-climbing place ... after you've taken Torts ...

"Why do I have to sign this?"

"Because if you hurt yourself, we don't want to get sued."

"Oh, okay. No — wait a minutel I can't sue you for hurting myself. But I would be able to sue you if you failed to undertake the care that a reasonable indoor rock-climbing place would. Were you planning to fail to use reasonable care?" "Look, it's lawyers and stuff. You wanna rock climb or not?"

Our questions today:

- What are those things they make you sign at the trampoline/ indoorskydiving/rock-climbing/ etc. place?
- What legal effect do they have (if any) and why?
- What practical effect do they have?

Some initial observations:

- This slideshow concerns defenses *waiver, release,* and *exculpatory contract* not explicitly covered in the casebook, but this material should be considered alongside *assumption of risk*, which is covered in the casebook.
- Whether "waiver," "release," or "exculpatory contract," we are talking about the idea that the defendant should be off the hook (i.e., have an affirmative defense), based on the fact of the plaintiff's agreement or intent not to pursue a claim.
- Various jurisdictions might draw distinctions among waiver, release, and exculpatory contract, or they might consider them to be overlapping or different labels for the same thing.
- Courts may also mash any or all of these things together with assumption of risk.
- The fact is, the law gets pretty messy here. Reading the cases tends to reveal doctrine that is unclear and confused.



According to a sports insurance specialist and risk manager:

"A waiver/release agreement has two primary protective purposes: 1) 'Contractual Exculpation' which uses contract law principles (waiver/release is a contract) to excuse a sports organization for its simple negligence and 2) provides 'real evidence' of the sports organization's warning of inherent and other risks thereby triggering the common law Assumption Of Risk (AOR) defense under tort law."





According to a sports insurance specialist and risk manager:

"A waiver/release agreement has two primary protective purposes: 1) 'Contractual Exculpation' which uses contract law principles (waiver/release is a contract) to excuse a sports organization for its simple negligence and 2)

Note: This statement ("waiver/release is a contract") is <u>not</u> <u>true</u>. Waiver and release are things that can be subjects of a contract, just as land, money, and promise of future labor can be subjects of a contract. But a promise of future labor, by itself, is not a contract. Same goes for waiver and release.



What area of law are we in?

- Assumption of risk is a doctrine of tort law.
- Waiver / release / exculpatory contract come from outside of tort law.
 - Waiver can be considered a doctrine of equity.

What area of law are we in? Assumption of risk is a doctrine of tort law. Waiver / release / exculpatory contract come from outside of tort law. Waiver can be considered a doctrine of equity. Let's stop and talk about equity for a second ...









What is the difference between a "waiver" and a "release"?

What is the difference between a "waiver" and a "release"?

- These terms are sometimes used interchangeably.
- People tend to say "waiver" in a before-the-fact context. - E.g., a suspect "waives" their right to counsel.
- People tend to say "release" in an after-the-fact context.
 In a settlement, the plaintiff gets money in exchange for a "release" of claims.
- So why, in a trampoline-park-type context, is it "waiver and release"?
 - Maybe it's "belt-and-suspenders" drafting. (And once legal language gets grooved-in, drafters/lawyers are often averse to removing or changing it.)

What area of law are w

- Assumption of risk is a doctrine of tort l
- Waiver / release / exculpatory contract comet outside of tort law.
 - Waiver can be considered a doctrine of equity.
 - The phrase "exculpatory contract" signals that validity and effect depends on contract law.

Okay, back to this slide

(again)

- A release ...

What area of law are we in?

- Assumption of risk is a doctrine of tort law.
- Waiver / release / exculpatory contract come from outside of tort law.
 - Waiver can be considered a doctrine of equity.
 - The phrase "exculpatory contract" signals that validity and effect depends on contract law.
 - A release can be thought of as a thing being exchanged via a contract.
 - E.g.: In exchange for money (or something else of value), I release the legal claim I had or might have against you.
 - But a waiver can also be thought of as something exchanged via a contract, since waiver and release often are used as synonyms.



All the contract law you need to know (at least for torts 😊) ...

- To be legally binding (i.o.w., to be the basis of a suit for breach of contract), a putative contract must have:
 - Offer and acceptance
 - Meaning one party offers to enter into a contract with another party, and the other party accepts.
 - Consideration
 - Meaning each party gets something of value out of the contract that they didn't have before (services to be rendered in the future, money to be paid in the future, etc.).
 - A key point in Contracts is that purely donative promises generally are not enforceable via contract law. (I promise to mow your lawn next Tuesday, and in return you promise me nothing and give me nothing. If I don't mow your lawn, you can't sue me for breach of contract.)

- But in real cases, courts are often unclear about whether they are talking about an affirmative defense of assumption of risk (tort doctrine), waiver (i.e., as a theory of equity), or some contract-based entitlement (that requires applying contract law).
- It's super frustrating!!
- But the real world is filled with "waivers to sign" ...











Where we're at ...

- You should understand the conceptual distinctions among:
 - assumption of risk as an affirmative defense and a doctrine of tort law
 - a valid release as something exchanged in a binding contract
 - a waiver upheld as an equitable matter
- But because the law gets so doctrinally confused in this area, it's important to understand this from a practical/general perspective ...

Practicalities/Generalities

- Waiver/release/assumption-of-risk/etc. documents can have practical effect by discouraging would-be plaintiffs from suing or seeking legal counsel in the first place.
- Courts often seem compelled to side with the defendant where there's a waiver/release/assumption-of-risk/etc. document out of a sense of respecting individual liberty and freedom of contract.
- Courts often seem compelled to side with the plaintiff where there's a waiver/release/assumption-of-risk/etc. document because of concerns about fairness, expectations, public policy, and/or a lack of meaningful choice.
- These sorts of defenses <u>generally will not work in cases of gross</u> <u>negligence or intentional actions</u> (i.e., beyond "ordinary negligence.")

Some key takeaways: (1/3)

Where the plaintiff has signed some kind of form before visiting some place or engaging in some recreational activity:

- One way it can have legal force and create a winnable affirmative defense is through assumption of the risk doctrine.
 - For this to work, the elements of assumption of the risk must be met.
- A separate way, outside of tort doctrine, is a contractual and/or equitable theory that the plaintiff agreed to give up the right to sue.
 - For this to work, you'll need fairness for an equitable theory
 - or consideration and other necessities of contractual validity for a contractual theory



- Yet courts may gloss over the doctrinal distinctions and uphold an affirmative defense based on a broad principle of freedom of contract or the idea of an individual having made a choice that should be binding.
- No matter what, courts may reject you-signed-something defenses (regardless of type), for any of the following reasons:
 - Public policy grounds
 - Rejection is more likely where the business is viewed as a necessity.
 - Rejection is less likely where the business is viewed as leisure.
 - Rejection is more likely where bargaining power was unequal.
 - Rejection is more likely where offered on a take-it-or-leave-it basis.
 - Plaintiff's lack of understanding of the document or its effect
 - Plaintiff's lack of appreciation of the danger involved
 - The negligence at issue being more than ordinary negligence (e.g., gross negligence, "extreme" negligence)

Some key takeaways: (3/3)

- Expect courts to be unclear, and expect doctrine to be confused and thus often manipulable in any given case.
- Understand that waiver/release/assumption-ofrisk/etc. documents can have real-world force even without legal force because they may discourage people from pursuing a claim.

One more thing: Indemnification

• We didn't even talk about indemnification clauses in these sorts of documents.

I acknowledge that (i) those rules, instructions and directions are intended to promote the safety of both myself and others; (ii) my failure or refusal to abide by those rules, instructions and directions can lead to the immediate revocation of my right to use the Park and its facilities, without any right to refund of any payments made; and (iii) in the event of sickness, aceident or injury, I authorize the Park employees and representatives to obtain, on my behalf, emergency medical treatment and to secure such medical treatment at my expense;

(c) agree to fully and forever waive, release and discharge Vertical from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, (demands, judgments, damages (including compensatory, general, special, consequential, exemplary and punitive), liability or obligations of any nature or kind, whether known at the the Park or which may arise or become known later, which accrue on account of, or in any way arise out of or in connection with: (a) my activities within the Park; (b) the activities within the Park by Vertical; (d) my use of any and all of the Park facilities; and (e) my use of any and all equipment within the Park, whether owned by me, Vertical or a third party;

(d) agree to indemnify and hold Vertical harmless from and against any and all losses, liabilities, claims, obligations, costs, damages, and/or expenses whatsoever, including, but not limited to, any and all attorneys' fees, costs, damages and/or judgments directly or indirectly arising out of, or relating to my acts or omissions while participating in any activities at the Park;

 (e) agree to accept and assume all of the risks which accompany the Park's activities and represent that my participation in the activities is purely voluntary and I elect to participate in the activities notwithstanding the risks;

(f) fully understand that participating in the activities within the Park involves physical exertion; and accordingly represent that I (i) am in sufficient good health to participate in activities within the Park; (ii) I do not have any pre-existing physical or medical condition, including without limitation pregnancy, orthopedic problems, including back problems; heart problems; and/or breathing problems, that might be impacted or worsened by my use of the Park; and (iii) will not use the Park and its facilities while under the use of any drugs, alcohol or medications that may impair my physical abilities or judgment; and,

(g) certify that 1 have adequate insurance to cover any injury or damage 1 may cause or suffer while participating in the activities within the Park, or if not, 1 agree to bear the costs of such injury or damage to myself and others.

I agree that any legal proceeding shall be filed solely in the state of Texas and I further agree that the substantive law of Texas shall apply in that action without regard to the conflict of law rules of that state. I agree that if any portion of this agreement is found to be void or unenforceable, the remaining portions shall remain in full force and effect.

By signing this document, I acknowledge that if anyone is hurt or property is damaged during my participation in this activity,



Our questions today:

- What are those things they make you sign at the trampoline/ indoorskydiving/rock-climbing/ etc. place?
- What legal effect do they have (if any) and why?
- What practical effect do they have?

